UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/020,041 | 12/14/2001 | Joseph A. Izatt | 2004-0902-Reissue | 6298 | |
| 76863 KRAGULJAC | 7590 11/01/201 & KALNAY | 0 | EXAMINER | | |
| 4700 ROCKSII | DE ROAD | | LEE, HWA S | | |
| SUMMIT ONE, SUITE 510 INDEPENDENCE, OH 44131 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2886 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/01/2010 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mary@kkpatent.com ptomail@kkpatent.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/020,041 | IZATT ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Hwa S. Andrew Lee | 2886 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se | entember 2010 | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | / | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| closed in description with the process driver 2 | x parte quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10 | 0.0.210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-61</u> is/are pending in the a | application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-61</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| | animer. Note the attached Office | Action of format 1 | 0-102. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn. A review of the Supplemental Declaration filed on 9/28/10 helped to reveal deficiencies missed in prior reviews. The pusgrounds of a non-final rejection follow. The examiner deeply apologizes for the inconvenience.

Reissue Applications

- 1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. The statement of "an inadvertent and unintentional failure during the prosecution of the original patent to appreciate the full scope of the invention and to include claims as broadly directed thereto as the applicants had a right to claim" does not satisfy the requirement in MPEP § 1414 that the statement of error identify "how it renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid." A failure to appreciate the full scope of the invention does not state how the patent is inoperative or invalid. Also a failure to include claims as broad as the applicants had a right to claim does not state how the patent is inoperative or invalid.
- 2. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

Art Unit: 2886

Supplemental Declaration filed on 9/28/10 was not submitted as combined into one declaration without clear indication each of the three inventors believes to be the appropriate inventive entity.

MPEP 202.03 II B: Oath or Declaration states that

While each inventor need not execute the same oath or declaration, each oath or declaration executed by an inventor must contain a complete listing of all inventors so as to clearly indicate what each inventor believes to be the appropriate inventive entity. Where individual declarations are executed, they must be submitted as individual declarations rather than combined into one declaration. For example, where the inventive entity is A and B, a declaration may not be executed only by A naming only A as the inventor and a different declaration may not be executed only by B naming only B as the inventor, which two declarations are then combined into one declaration with a first page of boiler plate, a second page with A's signature, and a second page with B's signature (so that it appears that the declaration was executed with the entire inventive entity appearing in the declaration when it did not).

- 3. Supplemental Declaration is required for the additional defects or errors that are corrected in the reissue after the filing of the application and the original reissue declaration (see MPEP 1414.01). However, if a new declaration is submitted to state an error that supports this reissue then a supplemental declaration is not needed.
- 4. Claims 1-15 and 17-61 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.
- 5. The Amendment to the Claims filed on 3/11/10 is not in a proper format. The Amendment filed on 3/11/10 is improper since all subject matter being added to an original patent claim must be underlined. All subject matter being deleted from an original patent claim must be placed between brackets. 37 CFR 1.173(b)(2) and (d). See MPEP 1453. II.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,041 Page 4

Art Unit: 2886

Therefore, Claims 17-61 must be underlined.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwa S. Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2419.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur R. Chowdhury can be reached on 571-272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hwa S. Andrew Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2886